1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 AZCO BIOTECH INC., a Nevada Civil No. 12-cv-2599-BEN (DHB) Corporation; and J. ADÁMS, and 11 individual, 12 SCHEDULING ORDER Plaintiffs. 13 v. STEVEN GORDON, an individual; 14 JINGYUE JU, an individual; JERZÝ OLEJNIK, an individual; 15 INTELLIGENT BIO-SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1-16 50, inclusive, 17 Defendants. 18 INTELLIGENT BIO-SYSTEMS, INC., 19 Counter Claimant, 20 v. 21 AZCO BIOTECH INC., 22 Counter Defendant. 23 24 25 Pursuant to Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, a telephonic Case 26 Management Conference was held before Magistrate Judge David H. Bartick on 27 December 20, 2013. After consulting with the attorneys of record for the parties and

- 1 -

being advised of the status of the case, and good cause appearing,

28

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. Any motion to join other parties, to amend the pleadings, or to file additional pleadings shall be filed on or before **February 24, 2014**.
- 2. On or before May 16, 2014, all parties shall exchange with all other parties a list of all expert witnesses expected to be called at trial. On or before May 30, 2014, any party may supplement its designation in response to any other party's designation, so long as that party has not previously retained an expert to testify on that subject. Expert designations shall include the name, address, and telephone number of each expert, and a reasonable summary of the testimony the expert is expected to provide. The list shall also include the normal rates the expert charges for deposition and trial testimony.

The parties must identify <u>any</u> person who may be used at trial to present evidence pursuant to Rules 702, 703 or 705 of the Federal Rules of Evidence. This requirement is <u>not</u> limited to retained experts.

Please be advised that failure to comply with this section or any other discovery order of the Court may result in the sanctions provided for in Fed. R. Civ. P. 37, including a prohibition on the introduction of experts or other designated matters in evidence.

3. All expert disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) shall be served on all parties on or before <u>June 27, 2014</u>. Any contradictory or rebuttal information shall be disclosed on or before <u>July 25, 2014</u>. In addition, Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e)(1) imposes a duty on the parties to supplement the expert disclosures made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) by the time that pretrial disclosures are due under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) (discussed below). This disclosure requirement applies to all persons retained or specially employed to provide expert testimony, <u>or</u> whose duties as an employee of the party regularly involve the giving of expert testimony.

Please be advised that failure to comply with this section or any other discovery order of the Court may result in the sanctions provided for in Fed. R.

Civ. P. 37, including a prohibition on the introduction of experts or other designated matters in evidence.

- 4. All discovery shall be completed by all parties on or before <u>August 22</u>, <u>2014</u>. "Completed" means that all discovery must be initiated a sufficient period of time in advance of the cutoff date, so that it may be <u>completed</u> by the cutoff date, taking into account the times for service, notice, and response as set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Court's procedures for resolving discovery disputes are set forth in Magistrate Judge Bartick's Civil Chambers Rules, which are posted on the Court's website.
- 5. All motions, other than motions to amend or join parties, or motions in limine, shall be <u>filed</u> on or before <u>September 22, 2014</u>. Motions will not be heard or calendared unless counsel for the moving party has obtained a motion hearing date from the law clerk of the judge who will hear the motion. <u>Be advised that the period of time between the date you request a motion date and the hearing date may be up to sixty (60) days. Please plan accordingly.</u> Failure of counsel to timely request a motion date may result in the motion not being heard.

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1.f.1, when filing a Motion for Summary Judgment and/or Adjudication, the moving party **shall** file and serve a separate statement setting forth plainly and concisely all material facts which it contends are undisputed. Each of the material facts stated shall be followed by a reference to the supporting evidence. Further, the opposing party **shall** file and serve a separate statement that responds to each of the material facts contended by the moving party to be undisputed, indicating whether the opposing party agrees or disagrees that those facts are undisputed. The statement also shall set forth plainly and concisely any other material facts that the opposing party contends are disputed. Each material fact contended by the opposing party to be disputed shall be followed by a reference to the supporting evidence.

¹Counsel should note that while historically motion cutoff deadlines issued by this Court were deadlines for motion hearings, the motion cutoff dates now being issued establish deadlines for the parties to <u>file</u> motions.

Briefs or memoranda in support of or in opposition to any pending motion shall not exceed twenty-five (25) pages in length without leave of the judge who will hear the motion. No reply memorandum shall exceed ten (10) pages without such leave of court.

6. A Mandatory Settlement Conference shall be conducted on **December 1**, **2014** at **2:00 p.m.** in the chambers of Magistrate Judge Bartick. Counsel shall submit settlement statements directly to Magistrate Judge Bartick's chambers no later than **November 24, 2014**. The parties may either submit confidential settlement statements or may exchange their settlement statements. Each party's settlement statement shall set forth the party's statement of the case, identify controlling legal issues, concisely set out issues of liability and damages, and shall set forth the party's settlement position, including the last offer or demand made by that party, and a separate statement of the offer or demand the party is prepared to make at the Mandatory Settlement Conference.

The settlement conference briefs shall not be filed with the Clerk of the Court.

All named parties, all counsel, and any other person(s) whose authority is required to negotiate and enter into settlement shall appear in person at the conference. The individual(s) present at the Mandatory Settlement Conference with settlement authority must have the unfettered discretion and authority on behalf of the party to: 1) fully explore all settlement options and to agree during the Mandatory Settlement Conference to any settlement terms acceptable to the party (G. Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp., 871 F.2d 648, 653 (7th Cir. 1989)), 2) change the settlement position of a party during the course of the Mandatory Settlement Conference (Pitman v. Brinker Int'l, Inc., 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz. 2003)), and 3) negotiate a settlement without being restricted by any predetermined level of authority (Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc., 270 F.3d 590, 596 (8th Cir. 2001)).

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

²⁶ 27

² Statements under 20 pages in length, including attachments and exhibits, may be delivered directly to chambers, e-mailed to efile_Bartick@casd.uscourts.gov, or faxed to (619) 702-9925. Statements exceeding 20 pages in length, including attachments and exhibits, must be delivered directly to chambers.

8

11

14 15

17 18

16

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27 28

Governmental entities may appear through litigation counsel only. As to all other parties, appearance by litigation counsel only is not acceptable. Retained outside corporate counsel shall not appear on behalf of a corporation as the party who has the authority to negotiate and enter into a settlement. The failure of any counsel, party or authorized person to appear at the Mandatory Settlement Conference as required shall be cause for the immediate imposition of sanctions. All conference discussions will be informal, off the record, privileged, and confidential.

- The parties must comply with the pretrial disclosure requirements of Fed. 7. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) no later than <u>December 22, 2014</u>. Please be advised that failure to comply with this section or any other discovery order of the Court may result in the sanctions provided for in Fed. R. Civ. P. 37, including a prohibition on the introduction of designated matters in evidence.
- 8. Each party shall serve on each other party and file with the Clerk of Court their Memorandum of Contentions of Fact and Law and take any other action required by Local Rule 16.1.f.2 on or before **December 22, 2014**.
- 9. Counsel shall confer and take the action required by Local Rule 16.1.f.4.a on or before January 5, 2015.
- 10. Counsel for the Plaintiffs must provide opposing counsel with the proposed pretrial order for review and approval and take any other action required by Local Rule 16.1.f.6.a on or before **January 12, 2015**.
- Written objections, if any, to any party's Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) pretrial 11. disclosures shall be filed and served on or before January 12, 2015. Please be advised that the failure to file written objections to a party's pretrial disclosures may result in the waiver of such objections, with the exception of those made pursuant to Rules 402 (relevance) and 403 (prejudice, confusion or waste of time) of the Federal Rules of Evidence.
- 12. The Pretrial Order shall be lodged with the district judge's chambers on or before **January 19, 2015** and shall be in the form prescribed in Local Rule 16.1.f.6.c.

The final Pretrial Conference is scheduled on the calendar of the Honorable 13. Roger T. Benitez on January 26, 2015 at 10:30 a.m. The trial date will be assigned by Judge Benitez at the pretrial conference. 14. The dates and times set forth herein will not be modified except for good cause shown. 15. Plaintiffs' counsel shall serve a copy of this order on all parties that enter this case hereafter. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: December 20, 2013 DAVID/H. BARTICK United States Magistrate Judge